

# Survey for the Evaluation of the state and reform of higher education pedagogy (to higher education institutions)

Welcome to respond to the survey addressed to higher education institutions as part of the Evaluation of the state and reform of higher education pedagogy!

The purpose of this survey is to examine the state of higher education pedagogy and efforts to develop it in different higher education institutions. Each higher education institution should only return one response, but we hope that more than one person will participate in writing it. We would like every higher education institution to respond to the survey, as all responses are important for building an overall picture of higher education pedagogy.

The survey is part of the [Evaluation of the state and reform of higher education pedagogy](#) conducted by the [Finnish Education Evaluation Centre \(FINEEC\)](#).

The survey data will be analysed by FINEEC experts and the evaluation team. The results of the survey will be published as part of the report on the Evaluation of the state and reform of higher education pedagogy. In addition, some of the responses may be used in the [Evaluation of Government's key projects for higher education 2017–2022](#).

Once the evaluation has been completed, the responses will be archived, and they can be handed over for research use. The responses to be archived will be anonymised. The findings of the survey will be reported in a way that does not make it possible to identify individual respondents. Responding to the survey is voluntary and can be interrupted at any time.

The deadline for responding to the survey is Friday 18 November 2022. Responding will take around 1.5 to 2 hours.

You can move forward and back in the questionnaire by clicking on the Next and Previous buttons. To edit a response, go back to it. If you wish, you can take a break from responding by clicking on the "Save and continue later" button at the bottom of the page. In this case, you will be sent a new link to the survey. It is important that you keep this link safe so that you can continue responding later.

For more information about the evaluation, visit [its website](#) or contact Senior Advisor Mira Huusko (mira.huusko@karvi.fi, tel. +358 29 533 5565).

Thank you for your response!

1. We collect background information on the survey respondents to enable us to draw reliable conclusions based on the survey data. Should you wish, you can read our privacy statement here.

\*

I consent to the processing of my personal data for the above-mentioned purpose.

2. As a representative of which university are you answering the survey? \*

- Centria University of Applied Sciences
- Diaconia University of Applied Sciences
- Haaga-Helia University of Applied Sciences
- HUMAK University of Applied Sciences
- Häme University of Applied Sciences
- Åland University of Applied Sciences
- Jyväskylä University of Applied Sciences
- South-Eastern Finland University of Applied Sciences
- Kajaani University of Applied Sciences
- Karelia University of Applied Sciences
- LAB University of Applied Sciences
- Lapland University of Applied Sciences
- Laurea University of Applied Sciences
- Metropolia University of Applied Sciences
- Oulu University of Applied Sciences
- Police University College
- Satakunta University of Applied Sciences
- Savonia University of Applied Sciences
- Seinäjoki University of Applied Sciences
- Tampere University of Applied Sciences
- Turku University of Applied Sciences
- Vaasa University of Applied Sciences
- Arcada University of Applied Sciences
- Novia University of Applied Sciences
- Aalto University
- University of Helsinki
- University of Eastern Finland
- University of Jyväskylä

- University of Lapland
- LUT University - Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology
- National Defence University
- University of Oulu
- Hanken School of Economics
- Uniarts Helsinki
- Tampere University
- University of Turku
- University of Vaasa
- Åbo Akademi University

3. Who answered the survey (names and titles)? \*

---

---

---

---

---

4. What is the e-mail address of the person responsible for answering the survey for possible clarifications? \*

Part 1. The higher education institution's pedagogical policies and practices and pedagogical development in its strategy

5. How is pedagogical development visible in your HEI's strategy?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

6. How were the HEI's pedagogical policies and practices selected or formulated?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

7. How are pedagogical policies and practices updated in your HEI?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

8. What kind of expertise does your HEI aim for in teaching? Why?

---

---

---

---

---

Part 2. Pedagogical leadership

9. How are teaching and pedagogy led at your HEI?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

10. How are responsibilities for pedagogical leadership shared?

---

---

---

---

---

11. What type of support for pedagogical leadership is available in the units?

---

---

---

---

---

Part 3. Support for staff's and students' wellbeing in teaching and learning

12. What types of arrangements for higher education teaching and guidance are in place to support the

a) students' well-being?

b) teachers' well-being?

13. What are the greatest challenges

a) in supporting students' well-being?

b) in supporting teachers' well-being?

Part 4. Curriculum process

14. What types of processes does your HEI use to revise curricula in different fields and how

often?

---

---

---

---

---

15. Who participates in reforming the curricula and how?

---

---

---

---

---

16. How would you develop the curriculum process in your HEI?

---

---

---

---

---

Part 5. Support for higher education pedagogy work and organisation of support

17. How are teachers in your HEI supported and encouraged in pedagogical development?

---

---

---

---

---

18. How has support for the development of teaching been organised in your HEI?

---

---

---

---

---

19. How is teaching development supported in study fields?

---

---

---

---

---

---

Part 6. Cooperation in the development of higher education pedagogy

20. What type of cooperation related to higher education pedagogy does your HEI engage in

a) nationally?

b) internationally?

21. How would you develop cooperation related to higher education pedagogy with other HEIs?

---

---

---

---

---

Part 7. Links between higher education pedagogy and research or RDI

22. How does your HEI support the link between teaching and research or RDI?

---

---

---

---

---

23. How do you use research on higher education pedagogy in the development of pedagogical work?

---

---

---

---

---

Part 8. Digital pedagogy solutions and support

24. How is the use of digital pedagogy solutions in teaching and learning promoted in your HEI?

---

---

---

---

---

25. In what type of cooperation does your HEI engage to develop digital pedagogy with other higher education institutions?

---

---

---

---

---

Part 9. Feedback processes as a tool for developing pedagogy and evaluation of pedagogical work

26. What types of feedback processes for the development of teaching are used in your HEI?

---

---

---

---

---

27. Which are the strengths and weaknesses of the feedback processes for the development of teaching?

a) strengths (max 3)?

b) weaknesses (max 3)?

28. How is the impact of the efforts to develop higher education pedagogy assessed?

---

---

---

---

---



29. How could the impact of efforts to develop higher education pedagogy be enhanced in your HEI?

---

---

---

---

---

Part 10. Future of higher education pedagogy

30. What are the key future challenges to higher education in your HEI and nationally?

---

---

---

---

---

31. How should higher education pedagogy be reformed in the future (max. 3 key points)?

---

---

---

---

---

Feedback to FINEEC

The feedback will be processed as part of FINEEC's internal quality management. Feedback from individual respondents will not be discussed in the evaluation report.

32. Please comment on the following statements.

	1 = strongly disagree	2 = somewhat disagree	3 = neither agree nor disagree	4 = somewhat agree	5 = strongly agree
The survey was well conducted.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The questions were relevant.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Responding to the survey supported the efforts to develop our activities.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

33. How do you think the conduct of similar evaluations should be improved in the future?

---

---

---

---

---

34. Other feedback to FINEEC.

---

---

---

---

---